

Guidelines for Master's Arm's Length Examiners

Your Role as an Arm's Length Examiner for a Master's student:

Thank you very much for sharing your valuable time and expertise with us in this manner. Your contribution is essential to the success of our graduate programs.

If, on reading the student's thesis, you have SERIOUS DOUBTS about its acceptability, your concerns should be discussed with the academic supervisor AT LEAST TWO WEEKS BEFORE THE SCHEDULED EXAMINATION DATE. In such a case, it may be appropriate to delay the examination until some of these issues can be resolved. Please note, an arm's length examiner must attend the defense either in person or via audio, video, or computer conferencing.

Conduct of the Oral Examination

- 1. The Chair introduces him or herself.
- 2. The Chair introduces the candidate.
- 3. The Chair asks the examining committee members to introduce themselves.
- 4. The Chair reviews the process.
- 5. The **examination is conducted** (normally lasts from 1 ½ 2 hours):
 - a. Candidate begins with a brief (10-20 minutes) summary of work
 - b. Examining committee asks two rounds of questions
 - · Examiner begins each round
 - Committee member(s) from outside the academic unit questions the candidate
 - Committee member(s) from the academic unit then questions the candidate
 - Supervisor goes last
 - c. If there is sufficient time, other members of the Faculty of Graduate Studies may ask questions
 - d. If there is sufficient time, members of the audience may ask questions
 - e. When questioning is completed, the candidate and the audience withdraw so that the examining committee can consider the thesis and defense *in camera*.
- 6. Post-oral discussion in camera

The discussion and decision of the examining committee should be based on the content of the thesis as well as the candidate's ability to defend it.

- 7. The **committee chooses an outcome** from the options listed below.
- 8. The candidate is asked to return to the examining room and the results are discussed.
- 9. The members of the examining committee sign the Thesis/Dissertation Approval form (brought to the exam by the supervisor).

Possible Outcomes of the Oral Examination

The thesis is acceptable subject to editorial changes as presented and the oral defense is acceptable.
 ACTION: All members of the examining committee sign the Thesis/Dissertation approval form (brought to exam by supervisor).

2. The thesis is acceptable subject to minor revisions and the oral defense is acceptable.

Minor revisions are more than corrections of typographical or punctuation errors. Typical examples of minor revisions include: clarification of textual material, the qualification of research findings or conclusions, or minor additions that improve the reading and understanding of the thesis.

ACTIONS:

- i) All members of the examining committee except the supervisor sign the Thesis/Dissertation approval form (brought to exam by supervisor).
- ii) All members of the examining committee draw up a list of the required minor revisions.
- iii) The supervisor is responsible for ensuring that the revisions are satisfactorily completed.
- iv) The supervisor signs the Thesis/Dissertation Approval Form and the Letter of Recommendation when the thesis has been revised to meet the committee's requirements.

3. The thesis is acceptable subject to major revisions and the oral defense is acceptable

An example of when a thesis is acceptable subject to major revisions and the oral defense is acceptable might be when a candidate has orally convinced the examining committee of the validity of the research results but has failed substantively to express this in the thesis.

May 2018 Page 1



Guidelines for Master's Arm's Length Examiners

Your Role as an Arm's Length Examiner for a Master's student:

ACTIONS:

- No one on the examining committee signs the Thesis/Dissertation Approval form. i)
- ii) The Chair makes a detailed and complete record of the required major revisions as agreed to by majority vote of the examining committee and provides this to the student at the conclusion of the examination.
- After consultation with the candidate, the maximum length of time permitted for the revisions shall be set iii) by majority vote of the committee, but shall not exceed one year from the date of the oral examination.
- iv) The supervisor oversees the major revisions.
- When the thesis is acceptable to the supervisor, s/he distributes it to the rest of the examining committee. V)
- When it is acceptable to the entire committee, the supervisor ensures that each member signs the Thesis/Dissertation Approval Form.
- vii) The head of the academic unit and the student's supervisor sign the Letter of Recommendation.

The examination is adjourned.

This result should not be confused with failure (see 5. Failure, below). Adjournment may be called for three different types of circumstances:

A sudden illness or emergency that does not allow for the examination to be completed; an external environmental situation arises that forces the exam to be prematurely terminated (such as fire alarm, power failure or natural disaster); or when the technology being used breaks down and cannot be repaired in time to continue the examination.

ACTIONS:

- The chair shall make a written report to the Dean of Graduate Studies within three (3) working days of the date of the oral examination.
- The Dean will set a date for reconvening the examination. The date for reconvening shall be no later than six months from the date of the first examination.
- Where the external examiner casts the lone dissenting vote. **ACTIONS:**
 - Each member of the examining committee shall make a written report to the Dean of Graduate Studies within 10 working days of the date of the oral examination.
 - The Dean will set a date for reconvening the examination. The Dean shall also determine whether or not the composition of the original committee is appropriate for the reconvened examination. The date for reconvening shall be no later than six months from the date of the first examination.
- Where the thesis is acceptable but the student has failed the oral defense.

ACTIONS:

- Each member of the examining committee shall make a written report to the Dean of Graduate Studies i) within 10 working days of the date of the oral examination.
- The Dean will set a date for reconvening the examination.
- The Dean shall also determine whether or not the composition of the original committee is appropriate for the reconvened examination. The date for reconvening shall be no later than six months from the date of the first examination.

The examination is failed.

If two or more members of the examining committee are opposed to passing the student, the student will not be recommended for the degree.

ACTIONS:

- The examining committee collectively makes a written report to the Dean of Graduate Studies within 14 i) calendar days of the date of the oral exam outlining the reasons for the failure.
- A student who fails an oral exam has the right to appeal and may consult with the Dean of Graduate Studies regarding the appropriate procedures.

May 2018 Page 2